STATE OF VERMONT PUBLIC SERVICE BOARD

Docket No. 7041

Joint Petition of Conversent Communications of	of)	
Vermont, LLC and PayPhone, LLC for)	
Approval of a Transfer of Control)	
		Order entered: 2/23/2005

I. Introduction

On January 7, 2005, Conversent Communications of Vermont ("CCV") and PayPhone, LLC ("PayPhone") (together the "Petitioners"), filed a Telecommunications Merger and or Acquisition Request for Approval Form ("Petition") requesting authority from the Vermont Public Service Board ("Board"), pursuant to 30 V.S.A. § 107, for approval of an indirect transfer of control of the Petitioners resulting from the formation of a new ultimate parent company.

On January 28, 2005, the Vermont Department of Public Service ("Department") filed a letter with the Board recommending the Board approve the transfer of control because the proposed transaction would not detrimentally impact Vermont consumers or cause them inconvenience or confusion. The Department further recommended the Board approve the Petition without further investigation or hearing.

The Board has reviewed the Petition and the accompanying documents and agrees that approval should be granted without hearing.

II. FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the Petition and accompanying documents, we hereby make the following findings of fact.

- 1. On February 16, 1999, in Docket No. 6160, NEVD of Vermont, LLC, was issued a Certificate of Public Good ("CPG") to provide telecommunications services in Vermont. On May 11, 2000, in Docket No. 6380, a name change from NEVD of Vermont, LLC to CCV was approved by the Board. Petition at 1.
- 2. PayPhone was issued a Certificate of Public Good (CPG No. 443) to provide telecommunications services in Vermont on October 27, 1998. Petition at 1.
 - 3. Petitioners are both subsidiaries of Conversent Holdings, Inc. Pursuant to a

Docket No. 7041 Page 2

combination of Conversent Holdings, Inc., with another entity, a new parent company of Conversent Holdings, Inc., will be formed. Pursuant to this corporate reorganization, Petitioners will become indirect subsidiaries of the newly formed parent company. Petition at 2 and Attachment 2.

- 4. Following the transaction, Petitioners will continue to operate under their respective current names and tariffs. Accordingly, the transfer will not cause any inconvenience for Vermont consumers. Petition at 4.
- 5. The proposed transaction will promote the public interest by allowing Petitioners to strengthen their competitive position, thereby enhancing Petitioners' ability to compete in the telecommunications market and ensuring the continued existence of telecommunications competition in Vermont. Petition at 4-5.

III. DISCUSSION

The proposed transaction requires approval by the Board under 30 V.S.A § 107. This statute conditions approval of a proposed transfer of control upon findings that the transfer of control will promote the public good (30 V.S.A § 107). This standard is met in this case.

Under 30 V.S.A. § 107(a), "[n]o company shall directly or indirectly acquire a controlling interest in any company subject to the jurisdiction of the [Board]... without the approval of the [Board]." "Controlling interest" is defined as "ten percent or more of the outstanding voting securities of a company" or such other interest as the Board determines "to constitute the means to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of a company." 30 V.S.A. § 107(c)(1). In order to approve the acquisition of such a controlling interest, the Board must first find that it will "promote the public good." 30 V.S.A. § 107(b).

After reviewing the Petition, we conclude that 30 V.S.A. § 107 applies because the transaction contemplated will result in the indirect transfer of controlling interest of Petitioners. We further conclude that the transfer of control will not affect the services that Petitioners currently provide to customers in Vermont. Petitioners will continue to offer service at the same rates, terms and conditions. The proposed transaction will also allow Petitioners to strengthen

^{1.} The statute also provides that "[t]he presumption that ten percent or more of the outstanding voting securities of a company constitutes a controlling interest may be rebutted by a company under procedures established by the board by rule." 30 V.S.A. § 107(c)(1).

Docket No. 7041 Page 3

their competitive position, which may, in turn, result in enhanced competition among providers of telecommunications services in Vermont. The resulting indirect transfer of control, therefore, will promote the public good. For all of these reasons, we conclude that the proposed transaction meets the standards set forth in 30 V.S.A. § 107 and should be approved.

IV. Conclusions

The indirect transfer of control of Petitioners should be approved because the transaction will promote the public good of the State of Vermont and will not result in obstructing or preventing competition. 30 V.S.A. § 107(b).

V. ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED by the Public Service Board of the State of Vermont that:

- 1. The indirect transfer of control of Conversent Communications of Vermont, LLC and PayPhone, LLC will promote the public good and, therefore, is approved.
- 2. Petitioners shall file a letter notifying the Board of the completion of the transaction within one week of such completion.

Dated at Montpelier, Ver	nont, this <u>23rd</u>	day of _	February	, 2005
--------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------	----------	--------

s/Michael H. Dworkin)
) PUBLIC SERVICE
s/David C. Coen) Board
) of Vermont
s/John D. Burke)

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Filed: February 23, 2005

Attest: s/Susan M. Hudson

Clerk of the Board

Notice to Readers: This decision is subject to revision of technical errors. Readers are requested to notify the Clerk of the Board (by e-mail, telephone, or in writing) of any apparent errors, in order that any necessary corrections may be made. (E-mail address: Clerk@psb.state.vt.us).

Appeal of this decision to the Supreme Court of Vermont must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within thirty days. Appeal will not stay the effect of this Order, absent further Order by this Board or appropriate action by the Supreme Court of Vermont. Motions for reconsideration or stay, if any, must be filed with the Clerk of the Board within ten days of the date of this decision and order.